Multi-time multi-scale correlation functions in hydrodynamic turbulence

Cite as: Phys. Fluids **23**, 085107 (2011); https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3623466 Submitted: 26 March 2011 • Accepted: 12 July 2011 • Published Online: 19 August 2011

Luca Biferale, Enrico Calzavarini and Federico Toschi

ARTICLES YOU MAY BE INTERESTED IN

Sweeping decorrelation in isotropic turbulence Physics of Fluids A: Fluid Dynamics 1, 2019 (1989); https://doi.org/10.1063/1.857475

Spatio-temporal correlations in three-dimensional homogeneous and isotropic turbulence Physics of Fluids **33**, 045114 (2021); https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0046677

Lagrangian and Eulerian time correlations in turbulence Physics of Fluids A: Fluid Dynamics 5, 2835 (1993); https://doi.org/10.1063/1.858747



AIP Author Services

Maximize your publication potential with English language editing and translation services



View Onlir

Phys. Fluids **23**, 085107 (2011); https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3623466 © 2011 American Institute of Physics.

Multi-time multi-scale correlation functions in hydrodynamic turbulence

Luca Biferale,¹ Enrico Calzavarini,^{2,a)} and Federico Toschi^{3,4}

¹International Collaboration for Turbulence Research, Department of Physics and INFN, University of Rome "Tor Vergata" Via della Ricerca Scientifica 1, 00133 Rome, Italy

²International Collaboration for Turbulence Research, Laboratoire de Mécanique de Lille CNRS/UMR 8107, Université Lille 1 - Science et Technologie and Polytech'Lille, Cité Scientifique Av. P. Langevin 59650 Villeneuve d'Ascq, France

³International Collaboration for Turbulence Research, Department of Physics and Department of Mathematics and Computer Science and J. M. Burgers Centre for Fluid Dynamics, Eindhoven University of Technology, 5600 MB Eindhoven, The Netherlands

⁴CNR-IAC, Via dei Taurini 19, 00185 Rome, Italy

(Received 26 March 2011; accepted 12 July 2011; published online 19 August 2011)

High Reynolds numbers Navier-Stokes equations are believed to break self-similarity concerning both spatial and temporal properties: correlation functions of different orders exhibit distinct decorrelation times and anomalous spatial scaling properties. Here, we present a systematic attempt to measure multi-time and multi-scale correlations functions, by using high Reynolds numbers numerical simulations of fully homogeneous and isotropic turbulent flow. The main idea is to set-up an ensemble of probing stations *riding the flow*, i.e., measuring correlations in a reference frame centered on the trajectory of distinct fluid particles (the quasi-Lagrangian reference frame introduced by Belinicher and L'vov [Sov. Phys. JETP 66, 303 (1987)]). In this way, we reduce the large-scale sweeping and measure the non-trivial temporal dynamics governing the *dynamic multiscaling* properties of turbulence - first proposed by L'vov *et al.* [Phys. Rev. E **55**, 7030 (1997)] - in which multi-time correlation functions are characterized by an infinite set of characteristic times. © 2011 American Institute of Physics. [doi:10.1063/1.3623466]

I. INTRODUCTION

A comprehensive theory of the Eulerian and Lagrangian statistical properties of turbulence is one of the outstanding open problems in classical physics.¹ Most studied quantities concern either measurements performed at the same time in multiple positions (Eulerian measurements)^{2,3} or along one or several particles moving with the flow (Lagrangian measurements).^{4–6} The latter are optimal to study temporal properties of the underlying turbulent flows^{7,8} but cannot simultaneously also disentangle spatial fluctuations, being based on single point, as for the case of acceleration, $^{9-12}$ or on a evolving set of scales as for two-particles¹³⁻¹⁷ and multi-particle dispersions.^{18,19} On the other hand, neither analytical control nor a firm phenomenological description of fully developed turbulence can be obtained without a solid understanding of the relation between spatio and temporal fluctuations.^{20-29,31,39} In order to access unambiguously spatial and temporal fluctuations one needs to set the reference scale and to get rid of the large-scale sweeping in the same experimental -or numerical- set-up.³²⁻³⁷ The idea here is to exploit numerical simulations to define a set of probes flowing with the wind, moving on a reference frame stuck with a representative fluid particle. In such a reference frame, we can access velocity fluctuations over different spatial resolution, together with their temporal evolution, without being affected by the large-scale sweeping.³⁸ The interest of these measurements is twofold. First, all

attempts to break the theoretical deadlock in turbulence have been hindered by the difficulties in closing both spatial and temporal fluctuations^{21,25,39} (notice that the main theoretical breakthrough in turbulent systems have been obtained where temporal fluctuations are uncorrelated⁴⁰). Second, small-scale parametrizations used for sub-grid turbulent closure call for more and more refined phenomenological understanding of spatial and temporal fluctuations.^{41,48}

In this article, we show that quasi-Lagrangian measurement is able to remove the sweeping effect, revealing that correlation times in the Eulerian and Lagrangian frame scale differently. Moreover, Lagrangian properties possess a dynamical multi-scaling, i.e., different correlation functions decorrelated with different characteristic time scales. The locality in space and time of the energy cascade is supported by studying the delayed peak in multi-time and multi-scale correlations. The main result we present is the confirmation of the theory by L'vov, Podivilov, and Procaccia²¹ where a bridge between spatial and temporal intermittency is made by means of a refinement of the multifractal phenomenology (originally proposed for the spatial statistics of Eulerian velocity in Ref. 42). Energy is transferred downscale with intermittent temporal fluctuations, and an associated infinite hierarchy of decorrelation times. Temporal fluctuations become wilder and wilder by decreasing the scale.

The article is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we present the numerical methods. In Sec. III, we show the result for single-scale multi-time correlation functions, for the bridge relation between temporal and spatial scaling exponents and for multi-time and multi-scale correlation functions.

^{a)}Author to whom correspondence should be addressed. Electronic mail: enrico.calzavarini@polytech-lille.fr.



FIG. 1. Sketch of the r_i probes "riding the flow", i.e., at fixed positions in a reference frame moving stuck with a representative fluid particle x(t).

II. METHODS

A. Sweeping and quasi-Lagrangian reference

The difficulty in studying the temporal correlations in turbulence is associated with the sweeping of small-scale structures by means of larger ones. In the case of a flow with a "large" mean velocity U, fluctuations $\mathbf{u}' \equiv \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{U}$ are almost passively transported in space via the Galilean transformation $\mathbf{x}'(t) = \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{U}t$. This property, dubbed Taylor frozen flow hypothesis, is commonly used in experiments to remap the hot-wire probe readings (which are done in time) to measurements in space and is supposed to be valid as long as turbulence levels are small $(|\mathbf{u}'|/|\mathbf{U}| \ll 1)$. In order to study the temporal evolution of velocity differences at a given scale r it is, hence, necessary to get rid of large-scale effects. This can be done by "riding the flow", i.e., stuck the origin of the reference frame on the position of a fluid parcel moving in the flow (see sketch in Fig. 1). Such reference frame, introduced by Belinicher and L'vov,³² is called quasi-Lagrangian. Because of this difficulty, measures of the quasi-Lagrangian type have been performed only numerically at moderate Reynolds and for small-scale quantities⁴⁴ or at high Reynolds in turbulence models, such as shell models (where sweeping is absent^{33,35,36}).

B. Numerical methods

In the present study, we measure multi-scale and multitime correlations in a quasi-Lagrangian reference frame from fully resolved high-statistics three-dimensional direct numerical simulations (DNS) of homogeneous and isotropic turbulence. We evolve the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations

$$\partial_t \mathbf{u} + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{u} = -\nabla p + \nu \Delta \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{f}, \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0, \quad (1)$$

in a cubic three-periodic domain via a pseudo-spectral algorithm and second order Adams-Bashforth time marching.² The forcing **f**, defined as in Ref. 46, acts only on the first two shells in Fourier space ($|\mathbf{k}| \le 2$) and keeps constant in time the total (volume averaged) injected power, $\langle \mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{u} \rangle_V = \text{const.}$ We report data coming from a set of simulations with $N^3 = 256^3$ and 512³, corresponding to $\text{Re}_{\lambda} \simeq 140$ and 180, respectively (see Table I for relevant parameters characterizing the flows). The simulation, e.g., at $\text{Re}_{2} \simeq 140$ has been carried on for 40 Eulerian turnover times, $T = (3/2)u_{rms}^2/\bar{\epsilon}$. We also integrated numerically $N_p = 3.2 \cdot 10^4$ tracers evolving with the local Eulerian velocity field $\dot{\mathbf{x}}(t) = \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}(t), t)$. At fixed temporal intervals, we evaluate the fluid velocity also at $\mathbf{x}(t) + \mathbf{r}_i$, with $i = 0, \dots, M$ (spatial distances from each tracer). The vectors are chosen to be always along one fixed direction, $\hat{\mathbf{r}}$, and are logarithmically spaced in the range between zero and half of the box-size (we use M = 20), see Figure 1. Similar measurements are done also at fixed positions uniformly spaced in the fluid domain. These two set of data are denoted, respectively, as quasi-Lagrangian (L) and Eulerian (E).

C. Notations and measurements

We focus our attention on the longitudinal increments of velocity a displacement ${\bf r}$

$$\delta u_{\mathbf{r}}(\tilde{\mathbf{x}},t) = (\mathbf{u}(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}+\mathbf{r},t) - \mathbf{u}(\tilde{\mathbf{x}},t)) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}}.$$
 (2)

Notice that we have adopted a unifying notation, for us $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}$ can represent either a fixed point in space $\tilde{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{x}_0$ or a point following a fluid particle: $\tilde{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{x}(t) = \int_{t_0}^t \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}(t|\mathbf{x}_0, t_0), t) dt + \mathbf{x}_0$ (a trajectory passing from \mathbf{x}_0 at time t_0). We distinguish between the two cases by the superscript labels: $\delta u_r^E(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}, t)$ or $\delta u_r^L(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}, t)$. Note that $(\delta u_r^E)^p$, with overbar denoting time average, is the usual Eulerian structure function of order p, furthermore by means of ergodicity it can be proved that $(\delta u_r^E)^p = (\delta u_r^L)^p$ (therefore, for such a quantity E, L labels will be dropped). We define the generic multi-scale, multitime correlation functions²¹

$$C_{R,r[L,E]}^{(q,p-q)}(\tau) = \frac{\overline{\left(\delta u_{\mathbf{R}}^{[L,E]}(t)\right)^{q} \cdot \left(\delta u_{\mathbf{r}}^{[L,E]}(t+\tau)\right)^{p-q}}}{\overline{\left(\delta u_{\mathbf{R}}(t)\right)^{q} \cdot \left(\delta u_{\mathbf{r}}(t)\right)^{p-q}}},$$
 (3)

where **R** and **r** denote separation vector fixed in space and with different magnitude. Note that the L, E distinction must be kept for the average of the multi-time product in the numerator. Given the correlation functions, we can define an

TABLE I. DNS parameters: *N* is the number of grid points per spatial direction; $\delta x = 2\pi/N$ and δt are the spatial and temporal discretization; ν is the value of kinematic viscosity; $\bar{\varepsilon}$ is the mean value of the energy dissipation rate. t_{tot} is the total simulation time; N_p is the total number of fluid tracers; *M* is the number of probes at fixed distances from tracer particle; $\eta = (\nu^3/\bar{\varepsilon})^{1/4}$ and $\tau_\eta = (\nu/\bar{\varepsilon})^{1/2}$ are the Kolmogorov dissipative spatial and temporal scales, $u_{rms} = \left(\overline{\langle u_i u_i \rangle_V}/3 \right)^{1/2}$ is the single-component root-mean-square velocity, $\lambda = (15\nu u_{rms}^2/\bar{\varepsilon})^{1/2}$ is the Taylor micro-scale, $T = (3/2)u_{rms}^2/\bar{\varepsilon}$ and $L = u_{rms}T$ are the large-eddy-turnover temporal and spatial scales; $Re_{\lambda} = u_{rms}\lambda/\nu$ is the Taylor scale based Reynolds number.

N^3	δx	δt	Ν	3	t_{tot}	N_p	М	η	$ au_\eta$	<i>u_{rms}</i>	λ	L	Т	Re _λ
256 ³	2.4 10 ⁻²	5 10 ⁻⁴	$3 \ 10^{-3}$	1.0	110	3.2 10 ⁴	20	$\frac{1.28 \ 10^{-2}}{9.89 \ 10^{-3}}$	5.48 10 ⁻²	1.41	$3.00 \ 10^{-1}$	4.24	3.00	141
512 ³	1.2 10 ⁻²	4 10 ⁻⁴	2.05 10^{-3}	0.9	12	1.024 10 ⁵	20		4.77 10 ⁻²	1.40	$2.58 \ 10^{-1}$	4.56	3.26	176

Eulerian and Lagrangian (integral) correlation time as follows:²¹

$$T_{[L,E]}^{(q,p-q)}(R,r) = \int_0^{+\infty} C_{R,r[L,E]}^{(q,p-q)}(\tau) \ d\tau.$$
(4)

III. RESULTS

A. Single-scale multi-time correlation

We begin discussing the special case of a single-scale, multi-time correlation, i.e., R = r. Dimensional inertial-range scaling, $(\delta u_{\mathbf{r}})^p \sim (\varepsilon r)^{p/3}$, provides the following estimate for the turnover time of inertial eddies of size $r,\,T_L^{(q,p-q)}(r)\sim r/$ $\left(\overline{(\delta u_{\mathbf{r}})^p}\right)^{1/p} \sim r^{2/3}\overline{\varepsilon}^{-1/3}$. On the contrary, the Eulerian correlation time-due to sweeping effect-can be estimated by means of the typical velocity difference of the largest eddy, which is proportional to the mean square root velocity, $\delta u_{\mathbf{L}} \sim u_{rms}$. One has $T_E^{(q,p-q)}(r) \sim r/\left(\overline{(\delta u_{\mathbf{L}})^p}\right)^{1/p} \sim r/u_{rms}$. In the $r \rightarrow \eta$ limit both correlation times tend to the dissipative scale τ_n . In Figure 2 (top inset), we show the behavior of $C_{r,r}^{(1,1)}(\tau)$ for both Eulerian and quasi-Lagrangian velocity differences and for separation scales $r \in [2.4, 245]\eta$. On the abscissa, the time increment τ is made dimensionless through the Eulerian large eddy turnover time T (see Table I). We clearly see that after a time $\sim T$ all the correlations have decreased at least of a factor 50, supporting the quality and convergence of our simulations. The main panel of Figure 2 shows the integral correlation times both for the Eulerian and quasi-Lagrangian case as computed from Eq. (4), in a time integration window [0, T]. The behavior is in qualitative agreement with the expected scaling, the Lagrangian case being less steep than the Eulerian one; however, pure powerlaw scaling seems to be hindered by finite Reynolds number and system finite-size effects. To demonstrate this, we introduce a parametrization for the second order spatial velocity structure functions, with dissipative and large-scale cut-off (see also Ref. 45): $T_n(r) = c_1 (1 + (r/c_2)^2)^{n/2}$ $(1 + (r/c_3)^2)^{-n/2}$ with n = 2/3 and n = 1, respectively, for the Lagrangian and Eulerian case. The parameters c_1 , c_2 , and c_3 represent the dissipative correlation time scale, the dissipative, and large cut-off scales, respectively. The good quality of the fit, shown in Fig. 2 (main panel), supports our hypothesis. Plotting the Lagrangian correlation time as a function of the Eulerian one, a procedure similar to the extended self similarity (ESS),⁴⁷ does show a good scaling with slope 0.64 ± 0.02 in the range [20, 200] η , consistent with 2/3 (Fig. 2, bottom panels). This finding again supports the idea that the limited scaling in Figure 2 is due to Reynolds number effects.

B. Intermittency and test of the bridge relations

It is well known that Eulerian statistics show intermittent corrections to dimensional scaling. For example, for structure function we have $\overline{(\delta u_r)^p} \sim r^{\zeta(p)}$ where $\zeta(p)$ is a nonlinear convex function of p.¹ In 1997, in a seminal work of L'vov, Podivilov and Procaccia²¹ provided a possible



FIG. 2. Top-inset: correlation functions of single-scale velocity differences $C_{r,r[L,E]}^{(1,1)}(\tau)$ as a function of the time delay τ , in the (quasi-)Lagrangian (solid line) and Eulerian (dashed line) frame of reference. Top-main panel: single-scale integral correlation time $T_{L}^{(1,1)}(r)$ (\bullet) and $T_{E}^{(1,1)}(r)$ (\Box) estimated from Lagrangian and Eulerian $C_{r,r}^{(1,1)}(\tau)$ (\bullet) and $T_{E}^{(1,1)}(r)$ (\Box) estimated from Lagrangian and Eulerian $T_{r,r}^{(1,1)}(\tau)$ (\bullet) and $T_{E}^{(1,1)}(r)$ (\Box) estimated from Lagrangian and Eulerian $C_{r,r}^{(1,1)}(\tau)$ correlations. The straight lines indicate slopes 2/3 and 1. The fit using the function $T_n(r)$ discussed in the text with n = 2/3 (solid) and n = 1 (dashed line) are also shown. Vertical dotted line reports the value $\lambda \simeq 20\eta$ for which both correlation times are $\sim \tau_{\eta}$. The horizontal line shows the integral correlation time of longitudinal velocity gradients $T_L^{(1,1)}(0) = 2.15\tau_{\eta}$ along Lagrangian trajectories, which corresponds to the small-scale (ultra-violet) limit, $\lim_{r\to 0} T_L^{(1,1)}(r)$. Bottom main panel: extended self similarity plot: $T_L^{(1,1)}(r)$ vs. $T_E^{(1,1)}(r)$ and its local slope: $d \log T_L^{(1,1)}(r)/d \log T_E^{(1,1)}(r)$ (bottom inset). The inertial range behavior $\sim r^{2/3}$ is reported in both panels.

framework to encompass the phenomenology associated with intermittency also with temporal fluctuations. The idea consists in noticing that for time correlations the structure of the advection term of the Navier-Stokes equations suggests the relation: $T_L^{(q,p-q)}(r) \sim r/(\overline{(\delta u_r)^p}/\overline{(\delta u_r)^{p-1}}) \sim r^{z(p)}$ (Ref. 21). Using the scaling for the Eulerian quantities, $(\overline{\delta u_r})^p \sim r^{\zeta(p)}$ one gets to the so-called *bridge relations* (BR) connecting spatial and temporal properties

$$z(p) = 1 - \zeta(p) + \zeta(p - 1).$$

Similar idea has also been successfully applied to connect the statistics of acceleration and velocity gradients.²² Plugging the empirical values^{3,6} for the Eulerian exponents in the previous expression, one predicts z(p) = 0.67, 0.74, 0.78, $0.80(\pm 0.01)$ for the orders p = 2, 4, 6, 8, respectively. In



FIG. 3. Test of dynamic multiscaling. Top panel: $T_L^{(q,p-q)}(r)$ vs. r/η , with p=2, 4, 6, 8, and q=p-1. Inset top panel: ESS-like plot of $T_L^{(q,p-q)}(r)$ vs. $T_L^{(1,1)}(r)$ for p=4, 6, q=p-1. Bottom panel: local scaling exponents, $z_r(p)$, for p=4, 6 and q=p-1, 1. Central values are computed as the mean between q=p-1 or q=1 for each p value. Error bars give the dispersion between the two choices. Horizontal lines represent from bottom to top, respectively, the dimensional prediction z(p)/z(2) = 1, $\forall p$ denoted as K41, and the BR values z(4)/z(2) = 0.74/0.67 and z(6)/z(2) = 0.78/0.67.

Figure 3 (main top panel) the different moments of the Lagrangian integral times, i.e., $T_L^{(q,p-q)}(r)$ (with p - q = 1), are shown versus the scale r. A steepening of the scaling properties with increasing p can be noticed. In order to enhance the quality of the measurements, we resort to ESS procedure by plotting a generic $T_L^{(q,p-q)}(r)$ versus $T_L^{(1,1)}(r)$ (see inset of Figure 3) in log-scale. It is now possible to define local scaling exponents as

$$z_r(p) = d \log T_L^{(q,p-q)}(r) / d \log T_L^{(1,1)}(r),$$

which according to the BR should scale as z(p)/z(2) for *r* in the inertial range. The result of this local scaling exponent analysis is shown in Fig. 3 (bottom panel) for the orders p = 4, 6. Notice that the BR predicts the same scaling properties independently of *q*. In our numerics, we find slightly different results for q = 1 or q = p - 1. The error bars in the bottom panel of Fig. 3 give a quantitative estimate of the spread between the two results. In the inertial range ~ [10, 100] η , we find some deviation from the K41 values z(p)/z(2) = 1, consistent with the BR predictions for p = 4, 6. We notice that the predicted intermittent corrections are very small and error bars large. Higher statistics and/or higher Reynolds number may help in giving stronger confirmation to this evidence.



FIG. 4. (Color online) Top panel: $C_{R,r^{-L}}^{(1,1)}(\tau)$ for fixed $r^* = 2.4\eta$ at changing $R \in [r^* : 120\eta]$. The solid line is a guide to the eyes connecting the peak for each given R. Inset bottom panel: cut of $C_{R,r^{-L}}^{(1,1)}(\tau)$ plot at increasing R (from bottom to top) as a function of τ . Symbol (\bullet) marks the position of the maximal correlation value (denoted as $T_{peak}^{(1,1)}(R)$), which increases for increasing values of R. Bottom panel: comparison between $T_{peak}^{(1,1)}(R)$ and $T_L^{(1,1)}(R) - T_L^{(1,1)}(r^*)$. The inertial scaling $R^{2/3}$ is also drawn for comparison.

C. Multi-scale multi-time correlation

We now focus on the most general case of multi-scale and multi-time correlation functions in the Lagrangian frame. In particular, in the correlation function (3) we vary the large scale R while the small scale r is kept fixed $r \simeq \eta$. Note that the velocity difference $\delta u_{\mathbf{R}}$ precedes in time, the difference $\delta u_{\mathbf{r} \simeq \eta}$. We are, therefore, interested in the time it takes for a velocity fluctuation to cascade down from a large eddy (of size *R*) to the smallest one (of size $\sim \eta$). In Figure 4 (top panel), we show the correlations $C_{R,r^*L}^{(1,1)}(\tau)$ with $r^* = 2.4\eta$ as defined from Eq. (3) (except for the fact that to enhance the contrast instead of $\delta u_{\mathbf{r}}$ we used $|\delta u_{\mathbf{r}}|$). The presence of a peak in $C_{R,r^*L}^{(1,1)}(\tau)$ for each given R, defines a time, $T_{peak}^{(1,1)}(R)$, which increases for increasing values of R. The presence of the peak can be directly associated with the time lag, it takes the energy to go down through scales from R to r^* , i.e., a direct evidence of temporal properties of the Richardson turbulent cascade.¹ In the inset of the bottom panel, we show the curves corresponding to $C_{R,r^*L}^{(1,1)}(\tau)$, for each different *R* at varying τ .

The scaling behavior of the peak time $T_{peak}^{(1,1)}(R) \sim R^{2/3}$, shown in Fig. 4 (bottom panel), is in agreement with what has been measured for Fourier-space based quantities by Wan *et al.*³⁸

Also in Fig. 4 (bottom panel) a comparison of $T_{peak}^{(1,1)}(R)$ with $T_L^{(1,1)}(R) - T_L^{(1,1)}(r^*)$ (as computed for Fig. 2) is shown. It is remarkable to note that the amplitude and scaling of $T_{peak}^{(1,1)}(R)$ -coming from the multi-scale correlation function is close and compatible with $T_L^{(1,1)}(R) - T_L^{(1,1)}(r^*)$ —coming from the single-scale correlation functions. This finding provides a clean confirmation that energy is transferred downscale in the quasi-Lagrangian reference frame with a temporal dynamics consistent with what estimated from K41 theory.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

We presented an investigation of multi-scale and multitime velocity correlations in hydrodynamic turbulence in Eulerian and quasi-Lagrangian reference frame. Our main results are the following: (1) We have demonstrated that quasi-Lagrangian measurement are able to remove the sweeping effect. The integral correlation times in the Eulerian and Lagrangian frame are shown to scale differently. (2) Lagrangian properties possess a dynamical multi-scaling, i.e., different correlation functions decorrelated with different characteristic time scales. (3) Bridge relations connecting single-time multi-scale exponents with multi-time singlescale exponents are valid, within numerical accuracy. (4) The locality in space and time of the energy cascade is supported by studying the delayed peak in multi-time and multiscale correlations. Temporal fluctuations becomes larger and larger by going to smaller and smaller scales, a phenomenon that may even affect numerical stability criteria for time marching, similarly to an effect concerning spatial resolution induced by spatial intermittency.43 Some issues similar to the ones here discussed have also been addressed in a recent numerical study³⁸ where evidences of the Lagrangian nature of the turbulent energy cascade have been demonstrated by studying the correlation between energy dissipation and local energy fluxes in the quasi-Lagrangian frame. While the method followed in Ref. 38 requires a knowledge of the three-dimensional velocity field, the approach proposed in the present manuscript needs only the knowledge of the velocity at just a few points along a Lagrangian trajectory: a measurements which may be already accessible in current particle tracking experimental set-ups.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors acknowledge useful discussions with R. Pandit and P. Perlekar. E.C. wishes to thank J.-F. Pinton for discussions and support to the initial stages of this work. E.C. has been supported also by the HPC-EUROPA2 project (project number: 228398) with the support of the European Commission - Capacities Area - Research Infrastructures. We acknowledge COST Action MP0806 and computational support from SARA (Amsterdam, The Netherlands), CINECA (Bologna, Italy), and CASPUR (Rome, Italy).

²K. R. Sreenivasan and R. A. Antonia, "The phenomenology of small-scale turbulence," Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech. **29**, 435 (1997).

- ³T. Ishihara, T. Gotoh, and Y. Kaneda, "Study of high-Reynolds number isotropic turbulence by direct numerical simulation," Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech. 41, 165 (2009).
- ⁴P. K. Yeung, "Lagrangian investigations of turbulence," Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech. 34, 115 (2002).
- ⁵F. Toschi and E. Bodenschatz, "Lagrangian properties of particles in turbulence," Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech. **41**, 375 (2009).
- ⁶R. Benzi, L. Biferale, R. Fisher, D. Q. Lamb, and F. Toschi, "Inertial range Eulerian and Lagrangian statistics from numerical simulations of isotropic turbulence," J. Fluid Mech. **653**, 221 (2010).
- ⁷N. Mordant, P. Metz, O. Michel, and J. F. Pinton, "Measurement of Lagrangian velocity in fully developed turbulence," Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 214501 (2001).
- ⁸A. Arneodo, R. Benzi, J. Berg, L. Biferale, E. Bodenschatz, A. Busse, E. Calzavarini, B. Castaing, M. Cencini, L. Chevillard, R. Fisher, R. Grauer, H. Homann, D. Lamb, A. S. Lanotte, E. Leveque, B. Luthi, J. Mann, N. Mordant, W.-C. Muller, S. Ott, N. T. Ouellette, J.-F. Pinton, S. B. Pope,
- S. G. Roux, F. Toschi, H. Xu, and P. K. Yeung, "Universal intermittent properties of particle trajectories in highly turbulent flows," Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 254504 (2008).
- ⁹A. L. Porta, G. A. Voth, A. M. Crawford, J. Alexander, and E. Bodenschatz, "Fluid particle accelerations in fully developed turbulence," Nature (London) 409, 1017 (2001).
- ¹⁰B. L. Sawford, P. K. Yeung, M. S. Borgas, P. Vedula, A. L. Porta, A. M. Crawford, and E. Bodenschatz, "Conditional and unconditional acceleration statistics in turbulence," Phys. Fluids **15**, 3478 (2003).
- ¹¹L. Biferale, G. Boffetta, A. Celani, A. Lanotte, and F. Toschi, "Particle trapping in three-dimensional fully developed turbulence," Phys. Fluids 17, 021701 (2005).
- ¹²J. Berg, "Lagrangian one-particle velocity statistics in a turbulent flow," Phys. Rev. E 74, 016304 (2006).
- ¹³B. Sawford, "Turbulent relative dispersion," Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech. 33, 289 (2001).
- ¹⁴L. Biferale, G. Boffetta, A. Celani, B. J. Devenish, A. Lanotte, and F. Toschi, "Lagrangian statistics of particle pairs in homogeneous isotropic turbulence," Phys. Fluids 17, 115101 (2005).
- ¹⁵M. Bourgoin, N. T. Ouellette, H. Xu, J. Berg, and E. Bodenschatz, "The role of pair dispersion in turbulent flow," Science **311**, 835 (2006).
- ¹⁶J. Berg, B. Luthi, J. Mann, and S. Ott, "Backwards and forwards relative dispersion in turbulent flow: An experimental investigation," Phys. Rev. E 74, 016304 (2006).
- ¹⁷J. P. L. C. Salazar and L. R. Collins, "Two-particle dispersion in isotropic turbulent flows," Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech. 41, 405 (2009).
- ¹⁸L. Biferale, G. Boffetta, A. Celani, B. J. Devenish, A. Lanotte, and F. Toschi, "Multiparticle dispersion in fully developed turbulence," Phys. Fluids **17**, 111701 (2005).
- ¹⁹H. Xu, N. T. Ouellette, and E. Bodenschatz, "Evolution of geometric structures in intense turbulence," New J. Phys. **10**, 013012 (2008).
- ²⁰M. S. Borgas, "The multifractal Lagrangian nature of turbulence," Philos. Trans.: Phys. Sci. Eng. **342**, 379 (1993).
- ²¹V. L'vov, E. Podivilov, and I. Procaccia, "Temporal multiscaling in hydrodynamic turbulence," Phys. Rev. E 55, 7030 (1997).
- ²²L. Biferale, G. Boffetta, A. Celani, B. J. Devenish, A. Lanotte, and F. Toschi, "Multifractal statistics of Lagrangian velocity and acceleration in turbulence," Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 064502 (2004).
- ²³L. Chevillard and C. Meneveau, "Lagrangian dynamics and statistical geometric structure of turbulence," Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 174501 (2006).
- ²⁴K. Zybin, V. Sirota, A. Ilyin, and A. Gurevich, "Lagrangian statistical theory of fully developed hydrodynamical turbulence," Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 174504 (2008).
- ²⁵K. P. Zybin and V. A. Sirota, "Lagrangian and Eulerian velocity structure functions in hydrodynamic turbulence," Phys. Rev. Lett. **104**, 154501 (2010).
- ²⁶R. Benzi, L. Biferale, E. Calzavarini, D. Lohse, and F. Toschi, "Velocity gradients along particles trajectories in turbulent fows: The refined similarity hypothesis in the Lagrangian frame," Phys. Rev. E 80, 066318 (2009).
- ²⁷H. Yu and C. Meneveau, "Lagrangian refined Kolmogorov similarity hypothesis for gradient time-evolution in turbulent flows," Phys. Rev. Lett. **104**, 084502 (2010).
- ²⁸J. Kleimann, A. Kopp, H. Fichtner, and R. Grauer, "Statistics of a mixed Eulerian-Lagrangian velocity increment in fully developed turbulence," Phys. Scr. **79**, 055403 (2009).
- ²⁹R. Stresing and J. Peinke, "Towards a stochastic multi-point description of turbulence," New J. Phys. **12**, 103046 (2010).

¹U. Frisch, *Turbulence: The Legacy of A. N. Kolmogorov* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995).

- ³¹D. Daems, S. Grossmann, and V. L'vov, I. Procaccia, "Continued fraction representation of temporal multiscaling in turbulence," Phys. Rev. E 60, 6656 (1999).
- ³²V. I. Belinicher and V. S. L'vov, "A scale-invariant theory of developed hydrodynamic turbulence," Sov. Phys. JETP **66**, 303 (1987).
- ³³L. Biferale, G. Boffetta, A. Celani, and F. Toschi, "Multi-time, multi-scale correlation functions in turbulence and in turbulent models," Physica D 127, 187 (1999).
- ³⁴D. Mitra and R. Pandit, "Dynamic multiscaling in fluid turbulence: An overview," Physica A 318, 179 (2003).
- ³⁵D. Mitra and R. Pandit, "Varieties of dynamic multiscaling in fluid turbulence," Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 024501 (2004).
- ³⁶R. Pandit, S. S. Ray, and D. Mitra, "Dynamic multiscaling in turbulence," Eur. Phys. J. B 64, 463 (2008).
- ³⁷S. S. Ray, D. Mitra, and R. Pandit, "The universality of dynamic multiscaling in homogeneous, isotropic Navier-Stokes and passive-scalar turbulence," New J. Phys. **10**, 033003 (2008).
- ³⁸M. Wan, Z. Xiao, C. Meneveau, G. L. Eyink, and S. Chen, "Dissipationenergy flux correlations as evidence for the Lagrangian energy cascade in turbulence," Phys. Fluids 22, 061702 (2010).
- ³⁹R. H. Kraichnan, "On Kolmogorov's inertial-range theories," J. Fluid Mech. 62, 305 (1974).

- ⁴⁰G. Falkovich, K. Gawedzki, and M. Vergassola, "Particles and fields in fluid turbulence," Rev. Mod. Phys. 73, 913975 (2001).
- ⁴¹C. Meneveau and J. Katz, "Scale-invariance and turbulence models for large-eddy simulation," Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech. **32**, 1 (2000).
- ⁴²G. Parisi and U. Frisch, "On the singularity structure of fully developed turbulence," in *Turbulence and Predictability in Geophysical Fluid Dynamics*, edited by M. Ghil, R. Benzi, and G. Parisi (North-Holland, the Netherlands, 1985), p. 84.
- ⁴³J. Schumacher, "Sub-Kolmogorov-scale fluctuations in fluid turbulence," Europhys. Lett. 80, 54001 (2007).
- ⁴⁴J. Schumacher, B. Eckhardt, and C. R. Doering, "Extreme vorticity growth in Navier Stokes turbulence," Phys. Lett. A 374, 861 (2010).
- ⁴⁵S. Grossmann, D. Lohse, and A. Reeh, "Multiscale correlations and conditional averages in numerical turbulence," Phys. Rev. E 61, 5195 (2000).
- ⁴⁶A. G. Lamorgese, D. A. Caughey, and S. B. Pope, "Direct numerical simulation of homogeneous turbulence with hyperviscosity," Phys. Fluids 17, 015106 (2004).
- ⁴⁷R. Benzi, L. Biferale, S. Ciliberto, M. Struglia, and R. Tripiccione, "Generalized scaling in fully developed turbulence," Physica D 96, 162 (1996).
- ⁴⁸G. Lacorata, A. Mazzino, and U. Rizza, "3D chaotic model for subgrid turbulent dispersion in large eddy simulations," J. Atmos. Sci. 65, 2389 (2008).